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Preface

The National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) is the backbone of protection for U.S. citizens from the life-threatening and economically disruptive effects of earthquakes. NEHRP provides federal support for research, information dissemination, development and implementation of technology, and the application of planning and management procedures to reduce seismic risk. NEHRP is also an incubator for technology and policy that extend well beyond seismic risk to improve the security and economic well-being of U.S. citizens and other members of the world community.

The contributions of earthquake engineering are wide ranging. They affect our lives through improvements in the perception, quantification, and communication of risk. They involve advanced technologies for reinforcing and monitoring the built environment, loss assessment methodologies, emergency response procedures, and a process for achieving disaster preparedness. They also involve a unique, multidisciplinary culture that integrates basic and applied research into design codes, construction methods, and public policy.

The intention of this report is to lay out the contributions of earthquake engineering that enhance public safety and improve the protection of U.S. communities from hazards beyond earthquakes. Four categories are chosen to identify major contributions and present representative examples: planning, advanced technologies, emergency response, and community engagement.

At one level the purpose of this document is education. It is written to inform members of the earthquake community about their collective contributions to improvements in civil infrastructure and community resilience. It is also written for the general public, governmental agencies, and elected representatives and their staff. It is hoped that this document will be used by members of the earthquake community and others to articulate the importance and long-lasting benefits of programs made possible through NEHRP.

At another level the purpose of this document is to help define and encourage leadership. The earthquake community has earned a strong reputation for advances in technology, planning, and policy that reduce seismic risk. These achievements are well regarded by those who work on other natural hazards, engineering and planning personnel responsible for civil infrastructure, and organizations responsible for emergency response and public protection. Leadership in earthquake engineering, supported through NEHRP, sets a high standard of performance.

Future performance will be viewed increasingly in a multihazard context. Perhaps the most important leadership challenge, therefore, is for the earthquake community to define its role in a multihazard world. As indicated by the many examples in this report, the earthquake community plays an enormously important role in multihazard mitigation. By informing readers about contributions beyond earthquakes, it is hoped that this report will stimulate dialogue and planning for improvements in seismic risk reduction that are developed with both awareness and understanding of their contributions to multihazard mitigation.
It is important for the earthquake community to articulate its contributions. Given the importance of NEHRP, this articulation needs to be voiced with the governmental agencies that are either responsible for or can find partnerships in NEHRP. This message also needs to be voiced with elected representatives responsible for legislative support. It is again hoped that this document will provide a better understanding for those in public service of the impact and value of their investments in NEHRP.

Thomas D. O’Rourke  
Past President, EERI, 2003-2004  
Past Co-Chair, NEHRP Coalition, 2005-2008
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Executive Summary

The purpose of this document is to articulate, with examples, the ways earthquake engineering has enhanced public safety and improved the protection of U.S. communities from hazards beyond earthquakes.

Incubator of New Ideas and Applications

For decades, earthquake engineering has been an incubator for new ideas, advanced technologies, and public policy. Earthquakes are associated with extreme, widespread disruption that occurs suddenly, without reliable short-term prediction. The technology used to reduce earthquake risk has been developed through multidisciplinary research and the implementation of methodologies that depart from conventional problem solving. This process encourages innovation and generates products and practices that fundamentally change our ways of modeling, design, and construction. Advances in earthquake engineering improve community safety against many different natural disasters such as fire, flood, and wind, and from human threats such as terrorism and severe accidents.

The development of probabilistic seismic hazard analysis (PSHA) is a good example of innovation stimulated by earthquake engineering. The concepts and procedures originally embodied in this hazard analysis approach have been applied to hurricanes, tornadoes, and other severe storms. The analytical process and modeling methods that evolved from PSHA are used worldwide by the insurance industry to distribute the risk associated with all types of natural hazards. The methodology also forms the underpinning for risk transfer to capital markets through catastrophe bonds.
The application of post-earthquake building inspection protocols to evaluate the state of damage of buildings surrounding the World Trade Center site after September 11, 2001, is an example of earthquake technology leveraged into critically important contributions for disaster recovery. Rapid procedures for post-earthquake building inspection were applied shortly after September 11 and helped speed the restoration of New York City businesses and world financial markets.

Improved Infrastructure Management

The U.S. infrastructure is complex and highly interdependent. Modeling and managing interdependent systems, such as electric power, water supplies, gas and liquid fuel delivery, and telecommunications, requires simulation capabilities that can accommodate the many geographic and operational interfaces within and among the different networks.

Earthquake engineering contributes powerful methods of modeling complex lifeline system performance. Characterization of diverse component behavior, multiscale modeling techniques, reliability-based decision making, and computer visualizations have been enhanced through investments in earthquake engineering. They are available now as a body of knowledge and technology for improved infrastructure management.
Structural health monitoring, protective systems, remote sensing, and Web-based GIS are technologies described in this report that were improved significantly through earthquake engineering applications. These technologies provide enormous benefits through improved surveillance and management of critical infrastructure in real time, and thus establish the platform for truly “intelligent” systems. The experience gained with wide-band wireless, massive high-end computation, and the processing of geographically distributed data with advanced seismic monitoring networks offers an important opportunity to improve the safety and operation of critical U.S. infrastructure.

Improved Multihazard Mitigation

Virtually every technology and planning or management process identified in this report can be extended to other natural hazards and, in most cases, to severe accidents and the destructive acts of terrorism. In several notable instances, technologies developed initially for earthquakes are being applied to hurricanes. For example, remote-sensing technologies and Web-based GIS developed for earthquake reconnaissance were applied in reconnaissance missions and response planning for Hurricane Charlie in 2004 and Hurricane Katrina in 2005. Reconnaissance, guided by high-resolution satellite images and aerial photographs in combination with GPS-coordinated databases and GIS, helps quantify the extent of damage and provides dynamic data systems to aid in the subsequent recovery of communities. In addition, the systematic collection and synthesis of regional data sets aid greatly in correlating the degree of damage with parameters such as wind speed, exposure, inundation levels, and building characteristics, enabling the application of improved loss estimation to future natural disasters.
Satellite imagery, refined through earthquake reconnaissance missions, is used to assess flood damage in Louisiana after Hurricane Katrina, 2005 (images and text courtesy of ImageCat).
Protective systems that use semi-active damping devices protect buildings during earthquakes and bridges during extreme wind and rainstorm conditions. Studies of the public’s perception of earthquake warnings and forecasts supply information critical for effective preparation and management of evacuations during floods and hurricanes. Investigations of earthquake recovery led to improved procedures for post-disaster reconstruction applicable for all natural hazards and human threats.

**Multihazard Legislation and Policy**

The reauthorization of the Earthquake Hazards Reduction Act in 2004 was used as the legislative vehicle for introducing and passing the National Windstorm Impact Reduction Act of 2004. The multi-agency oversight of NEHRP was used as the model for the National Windstorm Impact Reduction Program (NWIRP). Both programs are administered with the assistance of a Federal interagency committee for coordination and an external national advisory group that provides guidance and recommendations for program activities.

NEHRP has not only served as a model for legislation and a national program to mitigate the effects of windstorms, but has also informed federal policy for U.S. disaster reduction. Members of the earthquake community provided advice to the Subcommittee on Disaster Reduction of the National Science and Technology Council when formulating the six grand challenges for disaster reduction that are intended to guide federal investments in support of disaster-resilient communities (Subcommittee on Disaster Reduction, 2005). The earthquake community provides leadership for disaster reduction. Its members make notable contributions to address the disaster reduction grand challenges and promote the technical and social advances needed for effective multihazard risk mitigation.
The National Windstorm Impact Reduction Plan (left) and the Grand Challenges for Disaster Reduction (right) benefit from legislation and policy informed by NEHRP and the advice of engineers, geoscientists, and social scientists who work on earthquake hazards and risk reduction.

Culture of Multidisciplinary Innovation

Advances in earthquake risk reduction were accomplished through the collective enterprise of architects, emergency managers, engineers, geoscientists, and social scientists. This integrated approach is reflected in hazard-resistant design, guidelines, and codes; national loss estimation methodology; performance-based engineering; lifeline systems management; improved decision-making; and loss reduction partnerships. Federally funded earthquake hazard reduction programs consistently emphasize the social, economic, and policy factors that govern the adoption and implementation of loss reduction measures. Strategies for research and development are guided by the broader community and socioeconomic contexts in which they are applied.

The multidisciplinary nature of earthquake engineering is one of its most significant legacies, providing a model for the future mitigation of natural hazards and human threats. Substantial opportunities exist for the earthquake community to continue its leadership, with the recognition that its contributions have extraordinary value not only for seismic risk reduction, but also for multihazard mitigation and the improved performance of critical infrastructure.
Cragmont School in Berkeley, California, under construction. California has very strict requirements for new public school construction, legislation that has grown out of experience with damaging earthquakes, an increasingly sophisticated understanding of the hazard, and engineering and code developments. The state invests significant resources in a seismic hazard mapping program, and individual jurisdictions as well as property owners are making large investments in mitigation. This school in Berkeley is one such example — the “old” school, which was actually the newest school in the district, was torn down because of an increased understanding of its high seismic risk, and this new school erected (photo: City of Berkeley).